

SUPPLEMENT TO “GLOBAL SOLUTIONS TO FOLDED CONCAVE PENALIZED NONCONVEX LEARNING”

BY HONGCHENG LIU*, TAO YAO* AND RUNZE LI†

The Pennsylvania State University

S1. Proof of Proposition 2.1. Notice that (2.1) admits a closed-form representation given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\lambda(\theta) &= \begin{cases} \lambda\theta & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \lambda \\ \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{a-1}[\frac{\lambda^2}{2}(1-2a) + a\lambda\theta - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2] & \text{if } \lambda < \theta \leq a\lambda \\ \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{a-1}[\frac{\lambda^2}{2}(1-2a) + \frac{1}{2}a^2\lambda^2] & \text{if } \theta > a\lambda \end{cases} \\
\text{(S1.1)} \quad &= \begin{cases} \lambda\theta & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \lambda \\ \frac{1}{a-1}[-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} + a\lambda\theta - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2] & \text{if } \lambda < \theta \leq a\lambda \\ \frac{1}{2}(a+1)\lambda^2 & \text{if } \theta > a\lambda \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $F(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$F(\theta) := \min_{\kappa \in [0, \lambda]} \left\{ (\theta - a\lambda)\kappa + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)\kappa^2 \right\} + \frac{a+1}{2}\lambda^2.$$

We next show that $F(\theta) = P_\lambda(\theta)$ for any $\theta \geq 0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(S1.2)} \quad F(\theta) &= \min_{\kappa \in [0, \lambda]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \left(\frac{(\theta - a\lambda)\kappa}{\frac{1}{2}(a-1)} + \kappa^2 \right) \right\} + \frac{a+1}{2}\lambda^2 \\
&= \min_{\kappa \in [0, \lambda]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \left(\frac{\theta - a\lambda}{a-1} + \kappa \right)^2 - \frac{\theta^2 - 2a\lambda\theta + \lambda^2}{2(a-1)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Further notice that the unique minimizer κ^* of $\min_{\kappa \in [0, \lambda]} \{ (\theta - a\lambda)\kappa + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)\kappa^2 \}$ is

$$\kappa^* = \begin{cases} -\frac{\theta - a\lambda}{a-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq -\frac{\theta - a\lambda}{a-1} \leq \lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } -\frac{\theta - a\lambda}{a-1} \leq 0 \\ \lambda & \text{if } -\frac{\theta - a\lambda}{a-1} \geq \lambda \end{cases}$$

*Support by Penn State GraceWoodward Collaborative Engineering/Medicine Research Grant.

†Support by National Science Foundation DMS 1512422 and National Institute of Health grants P50 DA036107 and P50 DA039838.

Equivalently,

$$(S1.3) \quad \kappa^* = \begin{cases} -\frac{\theta-a\lambda}{a-1} & \text{if } \lambda \leq \theta \leq a\lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta \geq a\lambda \\ \lambda & \text{if } \theta \leq \lambda \end{cases}$$

Eq. (S1.3) provides a closed-form solution to the single variable minimization problem involved in (S1.2). Therefore, we can equivalently rewrite $F(\theta)$ into

$$(S1.4) \quad F(\theta) = (\theta - a\lambda)\kappa^* + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)(\kappa^*)^2 + \frac{a+1}{2}\lambda^2.$$

Combining (S1.1), (S1.3), and (S1.4) immediately gives us the equality, $F(\theta) = P_\lambda(\theta)$. Therefore, Model (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\beta \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{2}\beta^\top Q\beta + q^\top \beta \\ & + n \sum_{i=1}^d \min_{g_i \in [0, \lambda]} \left\{ (|\beta_i| - a\lambda) \cdot g_i + \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \cdot g_i^2 \right\} + n \frac{a+1}{2}\lambda^2 d. \end{aligned}$$

which immediately provides the desired result in Part (a).

As to Part (b), comparing (S1.3) and (2.1), we immediately have $\kappa^* = P'_\lambda(\theta)$, which is the desired result.

S2. Proof for Proposition 2.3. This proof follows a closely similar argument to the proof for Proposition 2.1 in Appendix S1. Notice that, per (2.2),

$$(S2.1) \quad P_\lambda(\theta) := \begin{cases} \lambda\theta - \frac{\theta^2}{2a} & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq a\lambda \\ \frac{1}{2}a\lambda^2 & \text{if } \theta > a\lambda \end{cases}$$

Define

$$F(\theta) := \min_{\kappa \in [0, a\lambda]} \frac{1}{2a}\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{a}\theta\kappa + \theta\lambda$$

and we want to show that $F(\theta) = P_\lambda(\theta)$. Observe that, for any $\theta \geq 0$,

$$(S2.2) \quad F(\theta) = \min_{\kappa \in [0, a\lambda]} \frac{1}{2a}\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{a}\theta\kappa + \theta\lambda = \min_{\kappa \in [0, a\lambda]} \frac{1}{2a}(\kappa - \theta)^2 - \frac{\theta^2}{2a} + \theta\lambda$$

whose closed form solution κ^* is given as

$$\kappa^* := \begin{cases} \theta & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq a\lambda \\ a\lambda & \text{if } \theta > a\lambda \end{cases}$$

Replacing κ by the minimizer κ^* in (S2.2), we can drop the minimization operator “min”. Then this easily leads to the equality, $F(\theta) = P_\lambda(\theta)$. This immediately results in both (a) and (b).

S3. Proof for Lemma 4.1.

PROOF. Note that $\frac{64k\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \leq \alpha$. In view of (4.2), we have for all $\|\beta' - \beta''\|_2 \leq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\beta') - L(\beta'') - \langle \nabla_{\beta} L(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle \\ & \geq \alpha_1 \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 - \tau_1 \frac{\log d}{n} |\beta' - \beta''|^2 \\ & \geq \left(\frac{64k\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 - \tau \frac{\log d}{n} |\beta' - \beta''|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for all $(\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$, we have

$$\tau \frac{\log d}{n} |\beta' - \beta''|^2 \leq (64k - 1) \tau \frac{\log d}{n} \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2.$$

Thus for all $(\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2 \leq 3, \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (S3.1) \quad L(\beta') - L(\beta'') & \geq \langle \nabla L(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \left(\frac{64k\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{\tau(64k - 1) \log d}{n} \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 \\ & \geq \langle \nabla L(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \left(\frac{\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that per Taylor expansion we have that for some $\chi \in [\beta', \beta'']$

$$(S3.2) \quad L(\beta') - L(\beta'') = \langle \nabla L(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta' - \beta'', [\nabla^2 L(\chi)]^{\top} (\beta' - \beta'') \rangle$$

Thus, combining (S3.1) and (S3.2), we obtain that for all $(\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2 \leq 3, \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \beta' - \beta'', [\nabla^2 L(\chi)]^{\top} (\beta' - \beta'') \rangle \geq \left(\frac{\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2.$$

Further notice $\nabla^2 L = \frac{X^{\top} X}{n}$. Then it follows that for all $c \in \mathbb{R}_+, \forall (\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2 \leq 3, \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$,

$$\frac{1}{2n} \langle c(\beta' - \beta''), X^{\top} X [c(\beta' - \beta'')] \rangle \geq \left(\frac{\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|c(\beta' - \beta'')\|_2^2.$$

This implies that for all $(\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$, and $\chi \in [\beta', \beta'']$,

$$(S3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta' - \beta'', [\nabla^2 L(\chi)]^\top (\beta' - \beta'') \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \langle \beta' - \beta'', X^\top X (\beta' - \beta'') \rangle \geq \left(\frac{\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, combining with (S3.2), gives that

$$L(\beta') - L(\beta'') \geq \langle \nabla L(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \left(\frac{\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2$$

for all $(\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$.

As an immediate result,

$$(S3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & L(\beta') - \mu \|\beta'\|_2^2 - L(\beta'') + \mu \|\beta''\|_2^2 \\ & \geq \langle \nabla L(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \left(\frac{\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 - \mu \|\beta'\|_2^2 + \mu \|\beta''\|_2^2 \\ & = \langle \nabla L(\beta'') - 2\mu\beta'', \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \left(\frac{\tau \log d}{n} + \mu \right) \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 - \mu \|\beta'\|_2^2 \\ & \quad + \mu \|\beta''\|_2^2 + 2\mu \langle \beta'', \beta' - \beta'' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(S3.5) \quad \mu \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2 - \mu \|\beta'\|_2^2 + \mu \|\beta''\|_2^2 + 2\mu \langle \beta'', \beta' - \beta'' \rangle = 0.$$

Combining (S3.4) and (S3.5), we obtain

$$(S3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & L(\beta') - \mu \|\beta'\|_2^2 - L(\beta'') + \mu \|\beta''\|_2^2 \\ & \geq \langle \nabla L(\beta'') - 2\mu\beta'', \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \frac{\tau \log d}{n} \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

for all $(\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$. It is easy to check that $\sum_{i=1}^d P_\lambda(|\beta_i|) - \lambda|\beta|$ is continuously differentiable in β . Denote that $\mathcal{G}(\beta) := \frac{\partial[\sum_{i=1}^d P_\lambda(|\beta_i|) - \lambda|\beta|]}{\partial \beta}$. Invoking the assumption that $\mu \|\beta\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d P_\lambda(|\beta_i|)$ is convex, we know that, for all $(\beta', \beta'') \in \{(\beta', \beta'') : \|\beta' - \beta''\|_0 \leq 64k - 1\}$:

$$(S3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu \|\beta'\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d P_\lambda(|\beta'_i|) - \mu \|\beta''\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d P_\lambda(|\beta''_i|) \\ & \geq \langle 2\mu\beta'' + \mathcal{G}(\beta'') + \mathcal{D}(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\beta'') \in \lambda[\partial|\beta|]_{\beta=\beta''}$ is a subgradient of $\lambda|\cdot|$ at β'' and $\partial|\cdot|$ is the subdifferential of $\lambda|\cdot|$. Combining (S3.6) and (S3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathcal{L}(\beta')}{n} - \frac{\mathcal{L}(\beta'')}{n} \\ &= L(\beta') + \sum_{i=1}^d P_\lambda(|\beta'_i|) - L(\beta'') - \sum_{i=1}^d P_\lambda(|\beta''_i|) \\ &\geq \langle \nabla L(\beta'') + \mathcal{G}(\beta'') + \mathcal{D}(\beta''), \beta' - \beta'' \rangle + \frac{\tau \log d}{n} \|\beta' - \beta''\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, by definition, $\nabla L(\beta'') + \mathcal{G}(\beta'') = \nabla \tilde{L}(\beta'')$, where \tilde{L} is defined as in (4.3). Therefore, we have the desired result. \square

S4. Extended Numerical Results for Comparison in Optimization Quality. This section of the appendix presents extended numerical results that complete Table 4. More specifically, Table S1 extends the LR-SCAD case (in the upper panel) of Table 4 and Table S2 extends the LR-MCP case (in the lower panel) of Table 4.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL
AND MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
STATE COLLEGE, PA 16802 USA
E-MAIL: hq15143@psu.edu
taoyao@psu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
STATE COLLEGE, PA 16802 USA
E-MAIL: rzli@psu.edu

TABLE S1
Extended results for the upper panel of Table 4 on comparison between LLA and the proposed MIPGO to solve LR-SCAD

		MIPGO	LLA _r	gap(%)	LLA ₀	gap(%)	LLA ₁	gap(%)
TS 1	Min.	67.01	67.01	0.00	83.24	19.50	88.84	24.57
$d = 10$	Ave.	67.01	84.44	20.64	83.24	19.50	88.84	24.57
$n = 10$	Max.	67.01	120.30	44.30	83.24	19.50	88.84	24.57
TS 2	Min.	76.15	76.15	0.00	76.15	0.00	106.02	28.16
$d = 10$	Ave.	76.15	93.58	18.63	76.15	0.00	106.02	28.16
$n = 10$	Max.	76.15	113.86	33.12	76.15	0.00	106.02	28.16
TS 4	Min.	90.58	92.88	2.48	106.05	14.59	90.58	0.00
$d = 20$	Ave.	90.58	110.35	17.91	106.05	14.59	90.58	0.00
$n = 10$	Max.	90.58	149.19	39.29	106.05	14.59	90.58	0.00
TS 5	Min.	64.98	64.98	0.00	64.98	0.00	107.19	39.38
$d = 20$	Ave.	64.98	86.10	24.53	64.98	0.00	107.19	39.38
$n = 10$	Max.	64.98	167.61	61.23	64.98	0.00	107.19	39.38
TS 7	Min.	132.04	132.04	0.00	162.05	18.52	168.77	21.76
$d = 40$	Ave.	132.04	181.12	27.10	162.05	18.52	190.76	21.76
$n = 15$	Max.	132.04	284.86	53.65	162.05	18.52	190.76	21.76
TS 8	Min.	146.79	146.79	0.00	165.00	11.03	146.79	0.00
$d = 40$	Ave.	146.79	196.85	25.43	165.00	11.03	146.79	0.00
$n = 15$	Max.	146.79	291.78	49.69	165.00	11.03	146.79	0.00
TS 10	Min.	702.54	702.54	0.00	830.80	15.44	830.80	15.44
$d = 200$	Ave.	702.54	788.15	10.86	830.80	15.44	830.80	15.44
$n = 60$	Max.	702.54	866.43	18.92	830.80	15.44	830.80	15.44
TS 11	Min.	782.59	905.47	13.57	914.25	14.40	782.59	0.00
$d = 200$	Ave.	782.59	1026.62	23.77	914.25	14.40	782.59	0.00
$n = 60$	Max.	782.59	1332.02	41.25	914.25	14.40	782.59	0.00
TS 13	Min.	962.10	1032.77	6.84	1225.51	21.49	1135.80	15.29
$d = 500$	Ave.	962.10	1187.43	18.98	1225.51	21.49	1135.80	15.29
$n = 80$	Max.	962.10	1252.43	23.18	1225.51	21.49	1135.80	15.29
TS 14	Min.	703.79	703.79	0.00	921.93	23.66	892.53	21.15
$d = 500$	Ave.	703.79	914.86	23.07	921.93	23.66	892.53	21.15
$n = 80$	Max.	703.79	1321.40	46.74	921.93	23.66	892.53	21.15
TS 16	Min.	1037.19	1037.19	0.00	1246.49	16.79	1246.49	16.79
$d = 1000$	Ave.	1037.19	1200.53	13.61	1246.49	16.79	1246.49	16.79
$n = 100$	Max.	1037.19	1400.49	25.94	1246.49	16.79	1246.49	16.79
TS 17	Min.	1036.06	1036.06	0.00	1413.73	27.55	1413.73	26.71
$d = 1000$	Ave.	1036.06	1276.52	18.84	1413.73	27.55	1413.73	26.71
$n = 100$	Max.	1036.06	1429.95	27.55	1413.73	27.55	1413.73	26.71

TABLE S2
Extended results for the lower panel of Table 4 on comparison between LLA and the proposed MIPGO to solve LR-MCP

		MIPGO	LLA _r	gap(%)	LLA ₀	gap(%)	LLA ₁	gap(%)
TS 1	Min.	10.23	20.48	32.03	13.46	24.04	15.04	32.03
$d = 10$	Ave.	10.23	23.03	37.99	13.46	24.04	15.04	32.03
$n = 10$	Max.	10.23	30.63	55.02	13.46	24.04	15.04	32.03
TS 2	Min.	19.21	20.48	6.19	23.52	18.31	22.50	14.61
$d = 10$	Ave.	19.21	23.03	16.58	23.52	18.31	22.50	14.61
$n = 10$	Max.	19.21	30.63	37.27	23.52	18.31	22.50	14.61
TS 4	Min.	14.18	17.52	19.10	17.88	20.71	22.51	37.02
$d = 20$	Ave.	14.18	26.05	45.57	17.88	20.71	22.51	37.02
$n = 10$	Max.	14.18	35.00	59.50	17.88	20.71	22.51	37.02
TS 5	Min.	13.54	17.61	23.09	15.79	14.21	25.00	45.83
$d = 20$	Ave.	13.54	26.44	48.78	15.79	14.21	25.00	45.83
$n = 10$	Max.	13.54	42.50	68.14	15.79	14.21	25.00	45.83
TS 7	Min.	32.05	39.03	17.88	32.05	0.00	48.76	34.28
$d = 40$	Ave.	32.05	48.73	34.24	32.05	0.00	48.76	34.28
$n = 15$	Max.	32.05	71.25	55.02	32.05	0.00	48.76	34.28
TS 8	Min.	29.28	32.97	11.18	31.87	8.11	41.49	29.41
$d = 40$	Ave.	29.28	43.23	32.27	31.87	8.11	41.49	29.41
$n = 15$	Max.	29.28	56.25	47.94	31.87	8.11	41.49	29.41
TS 10	Min.	119.06	130.46	8.73	122.72	2.98	130.46	8.73
$d = 200$	Ave.	119.06	156.16	24.76	122.72	2.98	130.46	8.73
$n = 60$	Max.	119.06	550.37	78.37	122.72	2.98	130.46	8.73
TS 11	Min.	118.83	124.04	4.20	157.43	24.52	124.04	4.20
$d = 200$	Ave.	118.83	136.78	13.13	157.43	24.52	124.04	4.20
$n = 60$	Max.	118.83	201.01	40.89	157.43	24.52	124.04	4.20
TS 13	Min.	155.77	171.67	9.26	155.77	0.00	176.95	11.97
$d = 500$	Ave.	155.77	184.67	15.65	155.77	0.00	176.95	11.97
$n = 80$	Max.	155.77	355.23	56.15	155.77	0.00	176.95	11.97
TS 14	Min.	167.06	171.33	2.50	194.65	14.18	186.32	10.34
$d = 500$	Ave.	167.06	198.97	16.04	194.65	14.18	186.32	10.34
$n = 80$	Max.	167.06	250.78	33.39	194.65	14.18	186.32	10.34
TS 16	Min.	201.65	212.05	4.91	212.05	4.91	265.49	24.05
$d = 1000$	Ave.	201.65	223.29	9.69	212.05	4.91	265.49	24.05
$n = 100$	Max.	201.65	265.49	24.05	212.05	4.91	265.49	24.05
TS 17	Min.	139.16	139.16	0.00	139.16	0.00	162.25	14.23
$d = 1000$	Ave.	139.16	156.37	11.00	139.16	0.00	162.25	14.23
$n = 100$	Max.	139.16	283.85	50.98	139.16	0.00	162.25	14.23